

mn

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,959	07/28/2003	Eitan Hefetz	34874-020 UTIL	6174
64280 7590 06/05/2007 MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO, P.C. 9255 TOWNE CENTER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, MANGLESH M	
SUITE 600 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/628,959	HEFETZ ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manglesh M. Patel	2178				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Manglesh M. Patel (USPTO).	(3) <u>Joseph Juliano (App's l</u>	<u>Rep)</u> .				
(2)	(4)					
Date of Interview: 31 May 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Yu</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ f	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		·				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/628,959

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The difference between the Yu reference and the invention was discussed. Specifically, the Yu reference teaches obtaining the component or content and displaying that content at run-time by previewing scripts in a client browser. However the invention has described in the submitted amendment describes that the design-time representation is rendered according to the layout of the components in the container. Therefore it improves upon Yu since it allows the container to be viewed within the WYSIWYG editor at design time, which differs from previewing in a client browser. By modifying and accessing the layout components in design view editor the user does not have to modify or understand scripting code has required by Yu. Therefore the amendment appears to overcome the reference however all responses are subjected to further search and consideration.